

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,632	STARZL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Leon Y. Lum	1641	

All Participants:

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Timothy Worrall.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2006

Time: ~2pm EST

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initially called Applicant's Representative to inquire about the Remarks filed on October 23, 2006, apparently in response to the Office Action mailed on April 21, 2006. The Remarks did not include any amendments or arguments traversing the rejections made in the Office Action, but only stated that a continuation would be filed "in lieu of a formal response." Applicant's Representative informed the Examiner that the Remarks filed were intended to be a miscellaneous communication and not a response to the Office Action. Applicant's Representative gave permission to the Examiner to abandon the instant case in light of the filing of application 11/552,111, which has been indicated as a continuation to the instant case. Furthermore, Applicant's Representative requested the following comment to be included in this interview summary, reiterating the comment mentioned in the Remarks filed: In abandoning this case, Applicants stated that they have not dedicated or abandoned any subject matter claimed herein, and moreover have not acquiesced to any rejections and/or objections made by the Patent Office; Applicants also stated that they reserve the right to pursue prosecution of any presently excluded claim embodiments in future continuation and/or divisional applications.